Practitioner's Docket No. <u>U012951-1</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Chun-Ying Huang, et al. 09/768442 Serial No.: 09/768,442

Filed: January 24, 2001

Examiner: Goldberg, Jerome D. For: PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOSITION FOR THE TREATMENT OF HEPATOCELLULAR

Group No.: 1614

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board—page 1 of 4) 9-6

CARCINOMA

05/28/2003 DTESSEM1 00000114 09768422

160.00 OP

465.00 OP

01 FC:2401 02 FC:2253

Assistant Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE:	An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior a Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.	pplication and one rejection in a continuing application.					
NOTE:	There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.						
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed November 21, 2002, finally rejecting claims 9-11.							
The item(s) checked below are appropriate:							
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRAI	NSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))					
I hereby	I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:						
	MAILING	FACSIMILE					
suf ado	posited with the United States Postal Service with Ticient postage as first class mail in an envelope dressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.					
Date:	May 21, 2003	Signature Janet I. Cord (type or print name of person certifying					

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

[] other than a small entity.

[X] a small entity.

A statement:

[] is attached.

[] was already filed on July 20, 2001

2. FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is:

[X]	small entity	\$160.00
[] oth	er than a small entity	\$320.00

Notice of Appeal fee due \$160

3. EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "... an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

NOTE: The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.

(a) [X] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension	Fee for other than	Fee for small entity	
(months)	small entity		
r.,	¢ 110.00	£ 55.00	
[] one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00	
[] two months	\$ 410.00	\$205.00	
[x] three months	\$ 930.00	\$465.00	
[] four months	\$1,450.00	\$725.00	

Fee \$ 465.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.







MAY 2 9 2003 TECH CENTER 1600/2000

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

Wor	(a)		[]	An extension formonths has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request \$
				or
	(b)		[]	Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.
4.	то	TAI	L FE	SE DUE
The	e tot	al fe	e du	e is:
	No	tice	of A	ppeal fee \$ <u>160.00</u>
	Ext	tensi	on f	TOTAL FEE DUE \$ 625.00
5.	FE	E PA	¥ΥΜ	MENT
		Ch	arge	Account No the sum of \$ of this transmittal is attached.
6.	FE	E D	EFIC	CIENCY
NO	TE:	the befo auth Bra	addit ore ti orizi nch i	is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover tional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where ation to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.
	×		-	additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account -0425.
				AND/OR
	⊠	If a	ny a	additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Janet I. Cord

(type or print name of practitioner)

Reg. No. 33,778

Tel. No.: (212) 708-1935

Customer No.: 00140

c/o Ladas & Parry 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10023